



## THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO **MANAGER'S REPORT**

DATE ISSUED: May 3, 2001 REPORT NO. 01-085

ATTENTION: Land Use and Housing Committee,  
Agenda of May 30, 2001

SUBJECT: Existing and Proposed Off-Leash Areas for Dogs in Parks

REFERENCE: Manager's Reports No. 01-002, 00-180, 98-235 and 98-141  
Resolutions # R-294435 and R-291472

### SUMMARY

#### Issues - Shall City Council:

1. Adopt the recommendations of the City Council-approved, volunteer, advisory Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee (Committee), for: (a) criteria for siting one-year trial off-leash areas for dogs in existing parks; and (b) rules/regulations for posting at off-leash areas, as detailed in this report?
2. Accept the City Manager's application of the Committee's siting criteria to existing parks in Council Districts 1, 2, 5 and 6, as shown in the matrix attached to this report?
3. Adopt the City Manager's recommendations for, and direct the creation of, 5 new one-year trial Off-Leash Areas for dogs in the following parks in the locations, and under the conditions, described in this report and consistent with the maps attached to this report; and direct the City Manager to post at each of the following sites the rules/regulations recommended by the Committee: (a) in Council District 1: Doyle Community Park and Carmel Creek Neighborhood Park; (b) in Council District 2: Dusty Rhodes Neighborhood Park; (c) in Council District 5: Kearny Mesa Community Park; and (d) in Council District 6: Cabrillo Heights Neighborhood Park?
4. Direct the City Manager to continue to discuss with affected communities potential inclusion of fenced off-leash areas for dogs during the planning of new parks, and to

additionally use the Committee's criteria to assess new park sites, and design those sites for off-leash use?

5. Apply several elements of the Committee's siting criteria and rules/regulations to existing off-leash areas in parks, as follows:

(a) Grape Street Park - Direct the City Manager to: fence the southern half of Grape Street Park, approximately 3 acres, for off-leash use, lifting the current restriction on hours; provide disabled access, accessible benches and modify the drinking fountain; sign the balance of the park as on-leash; and amend the posted rules/regulations to conform to the Committee's recommended rules?

(b) Morley Field, behind the tennis courts - Direct the City Manager, when funding becomes available, to: relocate this off-leash site from the area behind the tennis courts, where conflicts now occur between off-leash dogs and joggers and high school cross country events, to the 5-acre area located east of the youth sports field at Morley Field; improve the new site with 3 fenced sub-areas, disabled access, drinking fountains and benches; and meanwhile amend the posted rules/regulations at the existing off-leash site behind the tennis courts to conform to the Committee's recommendations?

(c) Nates Point - Direct the City Manager to fence this site, add disabled access and benches, and amend the posted rules/regulations to conform to the Committee's recommendations?

(d) Fiesta Island - Continue the April 12, 1999 City Council action to retain Fiesta Island as an off-leash area, pending future public hearings at the time funding is available for design and initial development of the Island; and amend the posted rules/regulations to conform to the Committee's recommendations?

(e) Dog Beach - Direct the City Manager to amend the posted rules/regulations to conform to the Committee's recommendations?

(f) Maddox Park and Cadman Park one-year trial off-leash areas - Continue the one-year trials of these sites with the Park and Recreation Director to decide on their continuance, modification or discontinuance at the end of that period; and direct the City Manager to proceed now to amend the posted rules/regulations to conform to the Committee's recommendations?

6. Direct the City Attorney to prepare an Ordinance for introduction at City Council amending Section 63.0102 (b) (2) of the Municipal Code to provide the following:

(a) Add the common term, "Off-Leash Areas," to the description of "dog exercise and training areas"?

(b) Clarify delegation to the Park and Recreation Director of the authority to establish and discontinue Off-Leash Areas in parks?

(c) Add authorization to the Park and Recreation Director to issue Park Use Permits for:

(1) Closure of one sub-area of an Off-Leash Area for activities conducted for a profit, such as Dog Training Classes, only if there are more than 2 sub-areas within an Off-Leash Area?

(2) Off-leash practice sessions for Dog Agility Trials, only at the turf area located southeast of the War Memorial Building in Balboa Park, said Permit to include, but not be limited to, a requirement for liability insurance naming the City as an additional insured?

(d) Add any other changes necessary to implement the recommendations?

7. Direct the City Manager to include in the adopted Park and Recreation Department Fee Schedule fees for Park Use Permits for Off-Leash Sub-Area Closures and for Dog Agility Trial Practice Sessions?

8. Direct the City Manager to post in the communities of Carmel Valley, Clairemont, Mira Mesa, Kearny Mesa/Linda Vista, Pacific Beach, Serra Mesa and University, in parks where there is not a legal Off-Leash Area, signs that notify park users that dogs are required to be on-leash at all times, and inform park users of the location of the nearest Off-Leash Area; and continue to post signs at other parks, as necessary, which indicate that off-leash dogs are not allowed?

9. Authorize use of the funding sources identified in the Fiscal Impact section of this report?

Manager's Recommendation - Take the actions described in Issues #1-9, above.

Other Recommendations - The City Council-approved, volunteer, advisory Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee supports the Manager's recommendations on Issues #1(a), #1(b), #4, #6(a), #6(c)(1), #6(c)(2) and #7. There are no recommendations from the Committee on the other issues, as these were outside the Committee's scope.

This Manager's Report, including attachments, was distributed in late April, 2001 to the chairs of the Planning Committees and Recreation Councils in the affected communities, along with the date, time and location of the Land Use and Housing (LU&H) Committee meeting. At the same time, at any park site about which there is a recommendation, notice was posted at that park of the recommendation, availability of this report, and

information about the LU&H Committee meeting. The report was sent to a mailing list of 46 persons who expressed interest in the work of, or provided communication to, the

Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee. The report was also available on the City's Web Site.

Fiscal Impact - The maximum estimated cost, depending on which steps are taken and on what schedule, is as follows. Actual and potential funding has been identified, as described below.

1. Total, including the relocation and development of an alternate site to the Morley Field site: \$245,200 (first year), plus ongoing maintenance after that.
2. Total, excluding the Morley Field site relocation and development: \$195,200 (first year) plus ongoing maintenance after that.

Potential funding sources are:

1. Developer Impact Fees, Park Service District Fees, Facilities Benefit Assessment funds, Environmental Growth Fund, Community Development Block Grant funds, Capital Outlay Fund and General Fund.
2. Private grants, government grants, endowment funds, private donations and/or corporate marketing sponsorships. Park and Recreation Department matching funds are eligible to be used to match private donations dollar-for-dollar. Park and Recreation Department staff could seek funding for both one-year trial and permanent locations. Securing grant funds or private donations for permanent Off-Leash Areas is a more viable option than securing these funds for one-year trial locations.
3. Surcharge on the Dog License Fee, to be negotiated with County Animal Control.
4. Cost-recovery user fee, whereby users of Off-Leash Areas pay a fee for a key or card to obtain access to a locked gate. An alternative is for users to pay a fee for a tag which must be on any dog inside the Off-Leash Area. Both methods involve administrative costs. The former method requires maintenance of the security system, and relies on users not leaving the gate open for those who did not purchase the key or card. The latter method requires sufficient enforcement presence to ensure compliance.
5. Off-Leash User Group, whereby an approved site for a one-year trial Off-Leash Area is not implemented until a User Group steps forward to fund fencing and disabled access, as needed, and signs.

Estimated costs are detailed as follows:

1. Provide, as needed, chain-link fencing (with double-entry and self-closing gates), disabled access, accessible bench, and rule/regulation signs at:

(a) Five recommended new, one-year trial Off-Leash Areas, as described in Issue #3, above: \$59,300, as follows:

	<u>Required Funding</u>	<u>Available Funding</u>	<u>Funding Source (1)</u>	<u>Unfunded</u>
Doyle Park:	\$11,800	\$10,595	PSD	\$1,205 (2)
Carmel Creek Park:	\$ 8,400	\$ 8,400	PSD	\$ 0
Dusty Rhodes Park:	\$10,700	(Apply for Mission Bay Endowment Fund)		
Kearny Mesa Park:	\$10,700	\$ 1,037	PSD	\$9,663 (2)
Cabrillo Heights Park:	\$17,700	\$17,700	CDBG	\$ 0

PSD - Park Service District Fee

CDBG - Community Development Block Grant

(1) Use of PSD and CDBG are subject to City Council authorization.

(2) Park and Recreation Department can seek private donations for half of these amounts, and then fund the other half with Department Matching Funds.

(b) Seven existing Off-Leash Areas, as described in Issue #5, above: \$60,600, as follows:

	<u>Required Funding</u>	<u>Available Funding</u>	<u>Funding Source (1)</u>	<u>Unfunded</u>
Grape Street:	\$28,200	\$5,000	EGF	\$23,200 (3)
Morley Field:	\$ 400	\$ 400	EGF	\$ 0
Nates Point:	\$31,200	\$5,000	EGF	\$26,200 (3)
Fiesta Island:	\$ 200	(Apply for Mission Bay Endowment Fund)		
Dog Beach:	\$ 200	\$ 200	GF (2)	\$ 0
Maddox:	\$ 200	\$ 200	PSD	\$ 0
Cadman:	\$ 200	\$ 200	PSD	\$ 0

EGF - Environmental Growth Fund

GF - General Fund

(1) Use of EGF and PSD are subject to City Council authorization.

(2) General Funds for this site, which has been off-leash since 1972, can be absorbed in the Park and Recreation Department budget.

(3) Park and Recreation Department can seek: (i) private donations for half of these amounts, and then fund the other half with Department Matching Funds;

and/or (ii) corporate marketing funds and individual donations for these improvements.

2. Relocated Morley Field Off-Leash Area: \$50,000. This cost can be phased, as follows: Phase I, fence perimeter of entire area, \$25,000. Phase II, divide area into 3 sub-areas, \$12,000. Phase III, add potable water, drinking fountains and benches, \$13,000. This cost is unfunded. Park and Recreation Department can seek: (i) private donations for half of these amounts, and then fund the other half with Department Matching Funds; and/or (ii) corporate marketing funds and individual donations for these improvements.

3. Provide 25% increased grounds maintenance at the turfed Off-Leash Areas for: pick-up of dog excrement not removed by area users; more frequent removal of heavier contents of trash cans; fence repair; and weed-whip removal of grass which will grow to unsightly heights along the base of fences installed on turf, at \$8,500 per site for 3 existing and 5 recommended turfed sites: \$68,000. This cost is unfunded. It can be considered for funding during FY 2002 budget deliberations as a New Facility, based on off-leash use being a new use of existing facilities.

Not included are costs for maintenance which is expected to be provided by Off-Leash Area users: pick-up of most dog excrement deposits; and provision and re-supply of on-site bags for use by dog owners who do not bring their own.

4. In communities where one or more Off-Leash Areas are, or will be, located (Carmel Valley, Claremont, Kearny Mesa/Linda Vista, Mira Mesa, Pacific Beach, Serra Mesa and University), post one or two signs at each park where there is not a legal Off-Leash Area, which notify park users that dogs are required to be on-leash at all times, and inform park users of the location of the nearest Off-Leash Area, at \$75 each: \$7,300. This cost is unfunded. It can be considered for funding during FY 2002 budget deliberations as a New Facility, based on off-leash use being a new use of existing facilities, to which these signs would direct park users.

Environmental Impact - These activities are exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines, Section 15301, "Existing Facilities."

## BACKGROUND

### Most Recent City Council Action

On January 9, 2001, City Council adopted the actions of the September 20, 2000 LU&H Committee, and additionally approved membership of an Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee. City Council minutes for Item #150, "Formation of Ad Hoc Committee to Identify Criteria for Selecting Parks for Off-Leash Dog Use" show the following actions:

“Adopt the resolution approving the list the list and continue forward with the process outlined by the LU&H Committee to do the following:

(A) Rescind the current process regarding existing parks, but continue to review new parks for appropriateness;

(B) Allow pipeline applications to proceed under the current process;

(C) Begin a new process with a new committee of up to ten members. The membership is to be approved by the City Council. The names are contained within the City Manager’s Report and to include the following to be on the committee:

(1) the Chair of the Park and Recreation Board;

(2) the Chair of the Community Planners Committee; or

(3) their designee to be on the committee; and

(4) Donald Steele;

(D) Direct Park and Recreation staff to return in 90 days to the Land Use and Housing Committee with a list of 6-10 recommended new leash free areas in existing parks within Council Districts 1, 2, 5 and 6; and to include a preliminary review of the parks once the committee establishes a criteria for them to look at;

(E) Direct staff that the goal is to designate new leash free areas within existing parks; (F) Allow staff to hire a facilitator to help with the process. Poway and Minneapolis are to be looked at for successful model;

(G) Have the recommendation approved by the full City Council; and

(H) Include a best effort’s clause to ask staff to look for a child advocate to sit on the committee; and if staff cannot find a child advocate to sit on the committee, then have an expert come and testify at a meeting.”

#### Existing Off-Leash Areas in Parks

The City of San Diego currently has 7 Off-Leash Areas in parks:

1. Fiesta Island in Mission Bay Park, since 1972 (Council District 2)
2. Dog Beach in Ocean Beach, since 1972 (Council District 2)
3. Grape Street in Balboa Park, since 1995 (Council District 3)
4. Nates Point in Balboa Park, since 1996 (Council District 3)
5. Morley Field behind the tennis courts in Balboa Park, since 1996 (Council District 3)
6. Maddox Park in Mira Mesa, in a one-year trial as of January 15, 2001 (Council District 5)
7. Cadman Park in Clairemont, in a one-year trial as of February 12, 2001 (Council District 6)

#### Planned Off-Leash Areas in New or Redeveloped Parks

Additionally, Off-Leash Areas are being planned in the following new or substantially redeveloped parks:

1. Capehart in Pacific Beach (Council District 6), first phase of construction estimated to begin

in October, 2001.

2. Camino Ruiz in Mira Mesa (Council District 5), construction estimated to begin in 2002.
3. Nobel Athletic Area in University City (Council District 1), construction estimated to begin in 2003.
4. North Chollas Park in Oak Park (Council District 4), construction is pending funding.
5. Sandburg Park in Mira Mesa (Council District 5), construction is pending funding.

#### Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee

The Ad Hoc Committee assignments for which names were not specified by City Council on January 9<sup>th</sup> were subsequently filled. One Committee member, veterinarian Dr. Patricia Pryor, resigned due to unexpected schedule conflicts. Committee members are listed in *Attachment 1*.

Prior to the first Committee meeting, the members read the 25-page “Minneapolis Advisory Committee Report Establishing an Off-Leash Dog Recreation Area Program for the City of Minneapolis” and the 20-page “Planning Parks for Pets” by the National Recreation and Parks Association. At the first Committee meeting, one member provided to the others copies of the 34-page “Public Open Space and Dogs” report prepared for the Petcare Information and Advisory Service in Victoria, Australia.

All Committee meetings complied with the noticing requirements of the Brown Act. The Committee met 4 times from February 5 to March 10, 2001. Each meeting included approximately 15 minutes devoted to public input. Additionally, on February 13, 2001, several Committee members conducted an evening meeting devoted solely to public input, and provided written summaries of that input to all the Committee members. At their meetings, Committee members heard verbal testimony from 28 different speakers, many of whom spoke at 2 or more meetings, and written testimony from an additional 4 people who chose not to speak. The Committee also received 65 pages of handouts and 105 e-mails. As a result, Committee members felt well informed when they made their recommendations at the end of their last meeting.

#### DISCUSSION

The Committee worked diligently to recommend criteria for siting Off-Leash Areas in existing parks and rules/regulations for posting in Off-Leash Areas. Their recommendations are as follows:

##### Siting Criteria

Minimum Requirements:

- A. Ability to comply with Americans with Disabilities Act requirements
- B. Where required, has sensitive species avoidance/buffer



- C. Ability to have fencing or other impenetrable barrier (minimum 4 feet in height), with double-gated entry and self-closing gates
- D. Has permeable, safe, sanitary surface

#### Desirable Characteristics:

Characteristics are weighted based on the degree to which the Committee found them necessary or desirable for siting purposes. Sites earning more points are preferred over sites earning fewer points.

	<u>Point Value</u>
1. Year-round availability for off-leash use	26
2. Exclusive use as off-leash area can be accommodated*	21
3. As close to available parking as reasonably possible	21
4. Wherever possible, provides a setback from existing adjacent residential units	21
5. Existing potable water source	19
6. Existing seating	19
7. Capable of being divided into areas for both large and small dogs	18
8. Minimum size of approximately one acre	17
9. Existing shade	17
10. Existing available parking	14
11. Existing security lighting	11
12. Existing restrooms	8
13. Existing activity lighting	7
14. No additional maintenance costs associated with off-leash use	6
15. Recognized volunteer group is available	1

\* Additional Minimum Requirement: If exclusive off-leash use cannot be accommodated in an area, as an option, site the off-leash area as a time share, to be negotiated with existing permittee(s) and the City.

The Committee concluded its work by agreeing that their siting criteria would not address every conceivable park characteristic that would have a bearing on siting, and by asking the Park and Recreation Department to exercise general prudence in final site selection.

#### Rules/Regulations

The Committee recommended continuing to post the following information, which is already posted on signs at the City's existing Off-Leash Areas:

- 1. Enter at your own risk.
- 2. Users of the Off-Leash Area accept liability for actions of their dogs.

3. Violations of the regulations may result in revocation of the leash-free area designation and/or prosecution under applicable law.

The following rules/regulations were recommended by the Committee:

1. LEASH dog while entering and leaving the Off-Leash Area.
2. Aggressive dogs, Declared Dangerous Dogs, and dogs in heat are prohibited.
3. Dog must be removed from the Off-Leash Area if aggressive.
4. Dog must have current license/vaccination.
5. Dog owner must remain in Off-Leash Area with dog.
6. Dog owner must have leash on hand at all times for each dog.
7. Dog should be under voice control.
8. Keep small children and infants under strict supervision.
9. PICK UP after your dog.
10. Fill any hole your dog digs.

The Committee additionally recommended that phone numbers be provided on the rule/regulation signs for the Park and Recreation Department, County Animal Control and the San Diego Police Department.

#### Recommended New One-Year Trial Off-Leash Areas in Parks

In responding to the City Council's direction to recommend 6-10 sites in existing parks for one-year trial Off-Leash Areas, the Department took into consideration the planned Off-Leash Area in Sandburg Park, which is an existing park in Council District 5. This park will be substantially re-developed, and will include an Off-Leash Area.

The Park and Recreation Department applied the Committee's siting criteria to existing parks in Council Districts 1, 2, 5 and 6, resulting in the matrix in *Attachment 2*. In evaluating the matrix to recommend at least another 5 sites, the Park and Recreation Department considered only sites that met the minimum requirements and had over 114 points out of 228 possible points for desirable characteristics. In addition, the Department favored geographic dispersal of sites, in order to reduce the degree to which any site must bear exceptionally high usage resulting from a large geographic service area. Finally, the Department considered: existing uses; potential conflicts; expressed views of neighbors, if known; and existence of demand, as represented by current off-leash use.

The Manager's recommended, non-prioritized sites for one-year trial are as follows. Maps showing the sections of the parks recommended as Off-Leash Areas are in *Attachments 3-7*.

1. Doyle Community Park, University City (Council District 1), fenced off-leash use of approximately 0.90-acre area in the eastern portion of the turf area, between the ballfield and Cargill Street. See *Attachment 3*.

2. Carmel Creek Neighborhood Park, Carmel Valley (Council District 1), fenced off-leash use of approximately 0.35-acre area north of the tot lot. The area could be made larger in the future by removing ground cover and planting turf. See *Attachment 4*.

3. Dusty Rhodes Park, Mission Bay Park (Council District 2), fenced off-leash use of approximately one-acre in the southeast area of the park, adjacent to the parking lot located off of Nimitz Blvd. The area is flat and turfed, with existing off-leash use. See *Attachment 5*.

4. Kearny Mesa Community Park, Linda Vista (Council District 5), fenced off-leash use of approximately one-acre area north of athletic fields #9 and 10. Area is flat and turfed. See *Attachment 6*.

5. Cabrillo Heights Neighborhood Park, Serra Mesa (Council District 6), fenced off-leash use of two areas located in the northeast corner of the park, with an asphalt road on the north side and the school fence on the east side. Both sites are covered with walk-on bark. Site “A” is approximately 0.29 acre, and could be used by large dogs. Area “B” is approximately 0.22-acre, and could be used by small dogs. See *Attachment 7*.

If the Manager’s recommendations are adopted, off leash sites would be distributed through the Council Districts as follows. See *Attachment 8*.

#### Council Districts

	<u>One</u>	<u>Two</u>	<u>Three</u>	<u>Four</u>	<u>Five</u>	<u>Six</u>	<u>Total</u>
Existing:	0	2	3	0	1	1	7
Planned:	1	0	0	1	2	1	5
Recommended:	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>
Total	3	3	3	1	4	3	17

#### Recommended Changes at Existing Off-Leash Areas in Parks

##### Grape Street Park

Grape Street Park, located in Balboa Park, was designated in 1995 by the Park and Recreation Director as an unfenced, provisional Off-Leash Area, without restriction on hours or area. Based on public input, on April 12, 1999, City Council directed initiation of a one-year trial of amended hours and boundaries at Grape Street Park. The trial off-leash hours were: Daily, 6:00 - 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 - 7:00 p.m. Dogs were required to be on-leash at all other times. Such split hours are known as “shared use.” The start of the Off-Leash Area was amended to 50 feet inside the park, denoted as “beyond the flower bed.” These changes were posted in the park 60 days prior to implementation. As directed by City Council, the Park and Recreation Director

conducted an evaluation after the trial period. At that time, the Director continued the trial hours and boundary at Grape Street Park.

There has continued to be some controversy among neighbors and park users over off-leash use at Grape Street Park. In light of the Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee's support of fencing exclusive-use Off-Leash Areas, the Manager's recommendation is to limit off-leash use at Grape Street Park to the southern half of the park, within 3 fenced acres, and to lift the current restriction on hours in the fenced area. See *Attachment 9*. In the unfenced area, dogs would be required to be on-leash at all times.

### Morley Field Area

Morley Field, behind the tennis courts, located in Balboa Park, was designated in 1996 by the Park and Recreation Director as an Off-Leash Area. The site has experienced conflicts between off-leash dogs and other users, including joggers and high school cross country events. In light of the Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee's support of fencing exclusive-use Off-Leash Areas, the Manager's recommendation is to relocate this Off-Leash Area, when funding becomes available, to the 5-acre area located east of the youth sports field at Morley Field. See *Attachment 10*. This site would be large enough to fence into 3 sub-areas for: large dogs, small dogs, and one which could be rented for Special Events or Dog Training Classes, or rotated into use during maintenance of one of the other 2 areas.

### Other Areas

Further applying the Ad Hoc Committee's recommendations, the turfed site at Nates Point, located in Balboa Park, is also recommended to be fenced. Signs identifying the location of the closest Off-Leash Area are not recommended for resource-based parks, such as the beaches, Mission Bay Park and Balboa Park. At the beaches and Mission Bay Park, there are already posted regulations about when dogs are disallowed, and when they are allowed on-leash. At Balboa Park, the locations of the 3 Off-Leash Areas are already listed in many forms of written information about the park. Finally, the rule/regulation signs at all existing sites are recommended to be brought into conformance with the Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee's recommendations.

## ALTERNATIVES

1. Do not adopt, or adopt with changes, the Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee's recommendations for siting criteria.
2. Do not adopt, or adopt with changes, the Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee's recommendations for posted rules/regulations.

3. Direct the creation of one-year trial Off-Leash Areas for dogs in parks other than those recommended by the City Manager. Possible alternate action could: (a) identify different park sites for one-year trial Off-Leash Areas; or (b) identify Off-Leash Areas in parks in Council Districts other than, or in addition to, Districts 1, 2, 5 or 6.
  4. Do not amend the location and hours of the Off-Leash Area at Grape Street Park.
  5. Do not relocate the Off-Leash Area at Morley Field.
  6. Do not fence, or fence less than the entire perimeter of the Off-Leash Area at Nates Point.
  7. Do not adopt, or adopt with changes, the amendments to the Municipal Code.
  8. Do not add the described signs at on-leash parks.
- 
9. Do not authorize use of the recommended funding sources.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Marcia C. McLatchy  
Park and Recreation Director

---

Approved: George I. Loveland  
Senior Deputy City Manager

McLATCHY/TW

- Attachments:
1. Members of the Ad Hoc Off-Leash Dog Committee
  2. Matrix of Ad Hoc Committee's Criteria Applied to Parks in Council Districts 1, 2, 5 & 6
  - (3-7. Maps of Recommended Parks for One-Year Trial Off-Leash Areas)
  3. Map of Doyle Community Park Proposed Off-Leash Area
  4. Map of Carmel Creek Neighborhood Park Proposed Off-Leash Area
  5. Map of Dusty Rhodes Park Proposed Off-Leash Area
  6. Map of Kearny Mesa Community Park Proposed Off-Leash Area
  7. Map of Cabrillo Heights Neighborhood Park Proposed Off-Leash Area
  8. Map of Existing, Planned and Recommended Off-Leash Sites

9. Map of Grape Street Off-Leash Area
10. Map of Morley Field Off-Leash Area